arXiv:1605.02972v1 [math.CO] 10 May 2016

A GENERALIZATION OF HALL'S THEOREM FOR k-UNIFORM k-PARTITE HYPERGRAPHS

REZA JAFARPOUR-GOLZARI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a generalized version of Hall's theorem for hypergraphs. More precisely, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a k-uniform k-partite hypergraph with some ordering on parts as $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$. such that the subhypergraph generated on $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i$ has a unique perfect matching. In this case, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for having a matching of size $t = |V_1|$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Some relevant results and counterexamples are given as well.

1. Introduction

We refer to [7] and [6] for elementary backgrounds in graph and hypergraph theory respectively.

Let G be a simple finite graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. A matching in G, is a set M of pairwise disjoint edges of G. A matching M is said to be a perfect matching, if every $x \in V(G)$ lies in one of elements of M. A matching M in G, is maximum whenever for every matching $M^{'}$, $|M^{'}| \leq |M|$.

For every set of vertices A, $N(A)$ which is called the neighborhood of A is the set of vertices which are adjacent with at least one element of A. The following theorem is known as Hall's theorem in bipartite graphs.

Theorem 1.1. *([7] Theorem 5.2) Let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y). Then G contains a matching that saturates every vertex in X, if and only if*

$$|N(S)| \geq |S| \quad for\ all\ S \subseteq X.$$

MSC(2010): Primary: 05E40; Secondary: 05C65, 05D15.
Keywords: k-uniform k-partite hypergraph, matching, perfect matching, vertex cover, Hall's theorem.

.

A vertex cover in G, is a subset C of $V(G)$ such that for every edge e of G, e intersects C. A vertex cover C is called a minimum vertex cover, if for every vertex cover $C^{'}$, $|C| \leq |C^{'}|$. The following theorem is known as König's theorem in graph theory.

Theorem 1.2. *([7] Theorem 5.3) In a bipartite graph, the number of edges in a maximum matching is equal to the number of vertices in a minimum vertex cover.*

Let V be a finite nonempty set. A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ on V is a collection of nonempty subsets of V such that $\bigcup_{e\in\mathcal{H}} e = V$. Each subset is said to be a hyperedge and each element of V is called a vertex. We denote the set of vertices and hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}$ by $V(\mathcal{H})$ and $E(\mathcal{H})$, respectively. Two vertices x, y of a hypergraph are said to be adjacent whenever they lie in a hyperedge.

A matching in the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is a set M of pairwise disjoint hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}$. A perfect matching is a matching such that every $x \in V(\mathcal{H})$ lies in one of its elements. A matching M in $\mathcal{H}$ is called a maximum matching whenever for every matching $M^{'}$, $|M^{'}| \leq |M|$.

In a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$, a subset C of $V(\mathcal{H})$ is called a vertex cover if every hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$ intersects C. A vertex cover C is said to be minimum if for every vertex cover $C^{'}$, $|C| \leq |C^{'}|$. We denote the number of hyperedges in a maximum matching of the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ by $\alpha^{'}(\mathcal{H})$ and the number of vertices in a minimum vertex cover of $\mathcal{H}$ by $\beta(\mathcal{H})$.

A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be simple or a clutter if non of its two distinct hyperedges contains another. A hypergraph is called t-uniform (or t-graph), if all its hyperedges have the same size t. A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be r-partite ($r \geq 2$), whenever $V(\mathcal{H})$ can be partitioned to r subsets such that for every two vertices x, y in one part, x and y are not adjacent. If $r = 2, 3$, the hypergraph is said to be bipartite and tripartite respectively.

Several researches have been done about matching and existence of perfect matching in hypergraphs (see for instance [1], [9], [12]). Also some attemps have been produced in generalization of Hall's theorem and König's theorem to hypergraphs (see [2], [3], [4], [5], [10], [11]).

Definition 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a k-uniform hypergraph with $k \geq 2$. A subset $\mathfrak{e} \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$ of size $k-1$ is called a submaximal edge if there is a hyperedge containing $\mathfrak{e}$. For a submaximal edge $\mathfrak{e}$, define the neighborhood of $\mathfrak{e}$ as the set $N(\mathfrak{e}) := \{v \in V(\mathcal{H})|\ \mathfrak{e} \cup \{v\} \in E(\mathcal{H})\}$.*

For a set A consisting of submaximal edges of $\mathcal{H}$, $\{v \in V(\mathcal{H}) | \ \exists \mathfrak{e} \in A, v \in N(\mathfrak{e})\}$ is denoted by $N(A)$.

Definition 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a hypergraph, and $\emptyset \neq V^{'} \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$. The subhypergraph generated on $V^{'}$ is*

$$< V^{'} >:= \{e \cap V^{'} |\ e \in E(\mathcal{H}), e \cap V^{'} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a k-uniform k-partite hypergraph with parts $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$, it is clear that the subhypergraph generated on the union of every $k-1$ distinct parts is a $(k-1)$-uniform $(k-1)$-partite hypergraph.

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ be a family of subsets of a set E. A subset $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}_{\neq}$ of E is said to be a transversal (or SDR) for $\mathfrak{A}$, if for every i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, $x_i \in A_i$. A partial transversal (partial SDR) of length l $(1 \leq l \leq n-1)$ for $\mathfrak{A}$, is a transversal for a subfamily of $\mathfrak{A}$ with l sets.[8]

The following theorem is known as Hall's theorem in combinatorics.

Theorem 1.5. *([8] Theorem 4.1) The family $\mathfrak{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ of subsets of a set E has a transversal if and only if*

$$|\bigcup_{i \in I^{'}} A_i| \geq |I^{'}|, \quad \forall I^{'} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$

Corollary 1.6. *([8] Corollary 4.3) The family $\mathfrak{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ of subsets of a set E has a partial transversal of length $l(> 0)$ if and only if*

$$|\bigcup_{i \in I^{'}} A_i| \geq |I^{'}| - n + l, \quad \forall I^{'} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$

2. **The main results**

Now we are ready to present our first theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ is a k-uniform k-partite hypergraph with some ordering on parts, as $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$ such that the subhypergraph generated on $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i$ has a unique perfect matching M. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching of size $t = |V_1|$, if and only if for every subset A of M, $|N(A)| \geq |A|$.*

Proof. Let $t = |V_1|$ and let the elements of M are $\mathfrak{e}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{e}_t$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching of size t with elements $e_1, \ldots, e_t$. By uniqueness of M, $M = \{e_1 - V_k, \ldots, e_t - V_k\}$. Therefore

$$(N(\mathfrak{e}_1), \ldots, N(\mathfrak{e}_t)) = (N(e_{i_1} - V_k), \ldots, N(e_{i_t} - V_k)).$$

Then the family $(N(\mathfrak{e}_1), \dots, N(\mathfrak{e}_t))$ has an SDR. Then by Theorem 1.3

$$|\bigcup_{i\in I} N(\mathfrak{e}_i)| \geq |I|, \quad \forall I \subseteq \{1, \dots, t\}$$

and therefore for every subset A of M, $|N(A)| \geq |A|$.

Conversely let for every subset A of M, we have $|N(A)| \geq |A|$. Now $(N(\mathfrak{e}_1), \dots, N(\mathfrak{e}_t))$ is a family such that

$$|\bigcup_{i\in I} N(\mathfrak{e}_i)| \geq |I|, \quad \forall I \subseteq \{1, \dots, t\}.$$

Therefore by Theorem 1.3, the mentioned family has an SDR. That is, there are distinct elements $x_1, \dots, x_t$ of V_k such that $x_j \in N(\mathfrak{e}_j)$. Now for every $1 \leq j \leq t$, $\mathfrak{e}_j \cup \{x_j\}$ is a hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$ and these hyperedges are pairwise disjoint. Then they form a matching of size t for $\mathcal{H}$. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a k-uniform k-partite hypergraph with some ordering on parts as $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k$ where $|V_1| = |V_2| = \cdots = |V_k|$, such that the subhypergraph generated on $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i$ has a unique perfect matching M. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a perfect matching if and only if for every subset A of M, $|N(A)| \geqslant |A|$.*

Remark 2.3. *Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 1.1 (Hall's theorem) in case $k = 2$.*

Remark 2.4. *In Theorem 2.1, if the hypothesis of uniqueness of perfect matching of subhypergraph generated on $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i$ is removed, only one side of theorem will remains correct. That is, from this fact that for every subset A of M, $|N(A)| \geqslant |A|$, we conclude that $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching of size $t = |V_1|$. The following example shows that the inverse case is not true in general.*

Example 2.5. Assume the 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with the following presentation.



Indeed, $\mathcal{H} = \{\{x_1, y_1, z_1\}, \{x_1, y_2, z_2\}, \{x_2, y_2, z_2\}, \{x_2, y_1, z_2\}\}$ where the parts of $\mathcal{H}$ are

$$V_1 = \{x_1, x_2\},\ V_2 = \{y_1, y_2\},\ V_3 = \{z_1, z_2\}.$$

In this case there is a perfect matching $M_1 = \{\{x_2, y_1\}, \{x_1, y_2\}\}$ for subhypergraph generated on $V_1 \cup V_2$. Although the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching $M^{'} = \{\{x_1, y_1, z_1\}, \{x_2, y_2, z_2\}\}$ of size 2, if $A = M_1$, we have $N(A) = \{z_2\}$. Therefore $|N(A)| \ngeqslant |A|$. Note that M_1 is not the unique perfect matching of subhypergraph generated on $V_1 \cup V_2$ because $M_2 = \{\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}\}$ is also yet.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a k-uniform k-partite hypergraph with some ordering on parts as $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$ such that the subhypergraph generated on $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i$ has a perfect matching M. If for every subset A of M, we have $|N(A)| \geqslant |A| - p$ where p is a fix integer and $1 \leq p \leq t-1$, then $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching of size $t - p$, where t is the size of V_1.*

Proof. Let the elements of M be $\mathfrak{e}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{e}_t$. $(N(\mathfrak{e}_1), \ldots, N(\mathfrak{e}_t))$ is a family such that the cardinality of the union of each s terms is greater than or equal to $s - t + (t - p)$. Then by Corollary 1.4, the family $(N(\mathfrak{e}_1), \ldots, N(\mathfrak{e}_t))$ has a partial SDR of size $t - p$. That is, there are distinct elements $y_1, \ldots, y_{t-p}$ of V_k such that $y_j \in N(\mathfrak{e}_{i_j})$. Now for every $1 \leq j \leq t - p$, $\mathfrak{e}_{i_j} \cup \{y_j\}$ is a hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$ and these hyperedges are pairwise disjoint. Then they form a matching of size $t - p$ for $\mathcal{H}$. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a k-uniform k-partite hypergraph with some ordering on parts as $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$, and let $t = |V_1|$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching of size t if and only if $\alpha^{'} = \beta = t$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching of size t. We show that $\alpha^{'} = \beta = t$. Clearly $\beta \geq \alpha^{'}$ because for covering each hyperedge of maximum matching, one

vertex is needed. But since there is a matching of size t, then $\alpha^{'} \geq t$. Now V_1 is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{H}$ because each hyperedge has only one vertex in V_1 and each vertex of V_1 lies in a hyperedge. Therefore $t \geq \beta$ which implies that $\alpha^{'} \geq \beta$. Then $\alpha^{'} = \beta$. The matching of size t is the maximum matching because it covers all vertices of V_1.

Conversely, if $\alpha^{'} = \beta = t$, it is clear that $\mathcal{H}$ has a matching of size t. □

The following example shows that removing the condition $t = |V_1|$ in Theorem 2.7 is not possible even if the subhypergraph generated on union of every $k-1$ parts, has a perfect matching.

Example 2.8. Assume 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with the following presentation, where the parts of $\mathcal{H}$ are

$$V_1 = \{1, 2\},\ V_2 = \{3, 4\},\ V_3 = \{5, 6\}.$$

Indeed $\mathcal{H} = \{\{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 3, 6\}, \{2, 4, 5\}\}$.



In this hypergraph we have the matching $\{\{1, 3, 5\}\}$ of size 1. But $\alpha^{'} \neq \beta$ because $\alpha^{'} = 1$ and $\beta = 2$. Note that each one of subhypergraph generated on $V_1 \cup V_2$, $V_2 \cup V_3$ and $V_1 \cup V_3$ have a perfect matching.

References

[1] R. Aharoni, A. Georgakopoulos, P. Sprüssel, Perfect matching in r-partite r-graphs, *European J. Combin.*, **30** (2009), no. 1. 39-42.

[2] R. Aharoni, O. Kessler, On a possible extension of Hall's theorem to bipartite hypergraphs,, *Discrete. Math.*, **84** (1990), 309-313.

[3] R. Aharoni, Matchings in n-partite n-graphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **1** (1985), 303-304.

[4] R. Aharoni, *On a criterion for matching in hypergraphs*, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **9** (1993), 209-212.

[5] R. Aharoni, Ryser's conjecture for 3-partite 3-graphs, *Combinatorica*, **21** (2001), 1-4.
[6] C. Berge, *Hypergraphs*, Elsevier Science Publishers B. V., 1989.
[7] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, London, 1976.
[8] V. W. Bryant, H. Perfect, *Independence Theory in Combinatorics*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1980.
[9] Z. Füredi, Matchings and covers in hypergraphs, *Graphs Combin.*, **4** (1988), 115-206.
[10] P. E. Haxell, A condition for matchability in hypergraphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **11** (1995), 245-248.
[11] P. E. Haxell and A. D. Scott, On Ryser's conjecture, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **19** (2012), no. 1, paper 23.
[12] D. Khün and D. Osthus, Matchings in hypergraphs of large minimum degree, *J. Graph Theory*, **51** (2006), 269-280.

Department of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Science (IASBS), P.O.Box 45195-1159, Zanjan, Iran
E-mail address: r.golzary@iasbs.ac.ir